UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,951	01/29/2004	Hubert Bucher	080404.53096US 1846	
23911 CROWELL &	7590 06/21/2007 MORING LLP		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			FIGUEROA, ADRIANA	
	P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			PAPER NUMBER
	,		3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/765,951	BUCHER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Adriana Figueroa	3637			
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. When the mailing date of the communication was precised above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	l. sely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
2a)□ Ti 3)□ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ma his action is FINAL . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowan osed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition						
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1,2,6-10 and 13-20 is/are pending in the laim(s) 1,2,6-10 and 13-20 is/are pending in the laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1,2,6-10 and 13-20 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application	Papers					
10)∐ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and request that any objection to the copplicant may not request that any objection to the copplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the copplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the copplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the copplacement of the copplacement of the copplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the copplacement of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Informati	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/765,951

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 13-20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 1 the phrase "container usable..." is incorrect, the correct phrase is "a container usable..."

In claims 2, 6-10, 13-20, line 1 the phrase "container according..." is incorrect, the correct phrase is "the container according..."

In claim 10, line 3 there is an additional space between the words "container and"

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the traveling carriages" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "folded open side wall" in line 10 and "foldable side wall" in line 20; claim 10 in lines 3 and 4, claim 18 in lines 3 and 4 and claim 19 in line 2 recites the limitation "the foldable side wall". The same term should be used for an element each and every time is recited.

Claim 1 in line 11, claim 7 in line 2 recites the limitation "a cable winch assigned to…". It is unclear what the applicant means by this. The examiner suggests replacing the word "assigned" by "secured" or "attached".

Claim 1 in lines 22 and 25, recites the limitation "operatively associated on the traveling carriages"; claim 2 in lines 5, 6 recites the limitation "a lifting device is associated with..."; claim 7 in line 3 recites the limitation "two cables associated with...". It is unclear what the applicant means by this. The examiner suggests replacing the word "associated" by "secured" or "attached".

Claim 2 recites the limitations "a lifting device" in line 5 and "a folded open side wall" in line 6. It is unclear if these are previously introduced limitations or new limitations.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "of two expansion elements" in line 3; claim 15 in line 3 recites the limitation "at a track". It is unclear if these are previously introduced limitations or new limitations.

Claim 7 in line 2 recites the limitation "comprises two cables associated with one of the two expansion elements". It is unclear if each of the cables is associated with one of the two expansion elements or one of the two cables is associated with one of the two expansion elements.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 13-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,951

Art Unit: 3637

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Adriana Figueroa whose telephone number is 571-272-

8281. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AF**/**4*F* 06/08/2007

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamamai